

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,821	02/19/2002	Yasuyuki Suzuki	2002-0206A	2849
513	7590 03/28/2005		EXAM	INER
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-1021	1616		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/049,821	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will be period for repl	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	February 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Tr					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2,3,5-14,17,19-33,35-40 and 42 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdoms 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.				
6) Claim(s) 2.3.5,6,8-14,17,19,22-32 and 35-38	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>7,20,21,33,39,40,42</u> is/are objected	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·	` ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	·			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	·	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	eceived.			
•••					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Internitant C	⊔mmary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/049,821

. Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner withdraws the finality of the last office action dated 11/18/04. The advisory action was inadvertently issued. Applicant's arguments filed 2/4/05 and 1/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6,8-14,17,19,22-32,35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkawa et al (WO 9963977; 12/16/99), Carelli et al (International J. of Pharmaceutics, 1992, 88 (1-3), 89-97, Nelson et al (WO 8907951; 9/8/89), and Corbiere (WO 8504106; 9/26/85).

Ohkawa teaches a composition for treating sleep disorder comprising the instant compound (S)-N-[2-1,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[5,4-b]furan-8-yl)ethyl]propionamide. See abstract, page 1 lines 5-11. Carelli teaches a composition for treating depression (sleep disorder) comprising lauric acid diethanolamide. See abstract. Nelson teaches a composition for treating depression (sleep disorder) comprising isopropyl myristate. See abstract, page 1 lines 5-13, page 3 line 17 – page 5 line 14. Corbiere teaches a composition for treating depression (sleep disorder) comprising PEG. See abstract, pages 10-16. The prior art does not teach a single composition comprising (S)-N-[2-1,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[5,4-b]furan-8-yl)ethyl]propionamide, lauric acid diethanolamide, isopropyl myristate, and PEG. One having ordinary skill in the art would

Art Unit: 1616

have been expected to make a single composition comprising all said ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this since all individual teachings are to treatment of sleep order. Applicant argues there exist no motivation to combine prior art references. Examiner disagrees with Applicant since all prior art references cited disclose the same utility, i.e. to treat sleep disorder. Applicant argues that the combination of prior art references add actives and additives which are not claimed by instant invention. Examiner argues that claims employ "comprising" language, which allows for the inclusion of other ingredients such as actives and additives.

Claim Objection

Claims 7,20,21,33,39,40,42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising the limitations of these claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/049,821 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616